

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/685,338 07/		07/23/1996	LIXIAO WANG	\$63.2-5902	5902 3558
490	7590	08/12/2003		N .	
•		STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER		
6109 BLUE SUITE 2000		DRIVE	RODRIGUEZ, CRIS LOIREN		
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3763	25
				DATE MAILED: 08/12/2003	93

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 satra = 14.49 psi 100°C 10-100°C 158°F-212°F

		. Application No	Applicant(s)
		08/685,338	WANG ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Cris L. Rodrigu	
			er sheet with the correspondence address
Period for F	,		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOUND IN THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUN	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how unication.) days, a reply within the statutory m tutory period will apply and will expire vill, by statute, cause the application	vever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. a SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ F	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 February 2003</u> .	
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action is FINAL 2	b)⊠ This action is non-	final.
	losed in accordance with the practi		ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ CI	aim(s) 43 is/are pending in the app	olication.	
4 a)	Of the above claim(s) <u>35, 36, 40-</u> 4	42 is/are withdrawn from o	consideration.
5)∏ CI	aim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>43</u> is/are rejected.		
7)□ CI	aim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)∏ Cl	aim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election require	ement.
Application	Papers		•
9) <u></u> Th∈	e specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10)□ The	e drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objec	ted to by the Examiner.
Д	pplicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)□ The	proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a) approv	ed b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	approved, corrected drawings are requ	• •	ction.
12)∐ The	e oath or declaration is objected to l	by the Examiner.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)∏ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
1.[Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been rec	eived.
2.[Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been rec	eived in Application No
3.[* See	Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internative attached detailed Office action	tional Bureau (PCT Rule	ave been received in this National Stage 17.2(a)). opies not received.
14)∏ Ackı	nowledgment is made of a claim for	r domestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
] The translation of the foreign lang nowledgment is made of a claim fo		
Attachment(s)	•		
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:
S. Patent and Traden PTO-326 (Rev. 0		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 34

Application/Control Number: 08/685,338

Art Unit: 3763

}

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. In view of the examiner's specific knowledge of the existence of a reference which indicate non-patentability of the appealed and reversed claim 43, and in view of the amendment filed on February 28, 2003, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED.

2. Please note that claims 35, 36, and 40-42 have been withdrawn from consideration by the examiner in view of applicant's cancellation proposal in paper No. 28, Supplemental Reply Brief (page 5), and therefore those claims must be canceled in response to this Office Action. Accordingly, claim 43 is the only pending claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 43 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamilton et al (US 5,797,877) in view of Anderson et al (US 5,500,180).

Hamilton teaches a double layer balloon made from at least two concentric layers of different thermoplastic polymers (col. 4, lines 6-29, see also disclosure for more details). Hamilton also teaches single layer balloons. The inclusion of a thermoplastic material in such balloons is to give a superior balance to the balloon properties such as a lower balloon resistance to inflation and deflation pressure (burst strength), and the ability to maintain a pre-selected diameter or hoop strength (radial tensile strength). Hamilton specifies that by varying the fabrication method and/or layer or blend materials and ratios.

Application/Control Number: 08/685,338

Art Unit: 3763

the balance of structural and surface properties of the balloon may be precisely tailored for a specific procedure. However, Hamilton fails to specifically disclose a burst pressure of at least 9 atmospheres, a diameter at 3 atmospheres of about 2 mm or more and an average compliance over the range of from 3 atmospheres to burst of at least 3% per atmosphere.

Anderson teaches a thermoplastic polymeric material balloon having the following parameters such as:

- 1) operational pressures that the balloon can be safely inflated without bursting of at least 12 atm (see Examples 3 and 4),
- 2) a nominal diameter of 3 mm at a certain inflation pressure, and
- 3) a diameter growth disclosed at column 4 lines 59-65 over a range of 3-12 atm.

 Anderson also teaches, in column 3 line 32-column 4 line 65,
 - 4) an average compliance (distentability) to burst the balloon, and in column 6 lines 5-23 discloses average compliance for the balloon of about 5 to 20%, and
 - 5) burst pressures of at least 9 atm (see Examples 3 and 4).

Further, Anderson teaches that all these balloon characteristics depend from the material construction and the wall thickness of the balloon that are controllable during the process of making the balloon. Given the teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Hamilton's single or double balloons with the Anderson's balloon material parameters and characteristics, since such parameters are deemed matters of design choice, well within the skill of the ordinary artisan, obtained through routine experimentation in determining optimal results of the claimed balloon.

Application/Control Number: 08/685,338

Art Unit: 3763

1

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cris L. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 308-2194. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on (703) 308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

June 23, 2003

Cris L. Rodriguez Examiner

Art Unit 3763

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700